

Early Journal Content on JSTOR, Free to Anyone in the World

This article is one of nearly 500,000 scholarly works digitized and made freely available to everyone in the world by JSTOR.

Known as the Early Journal Content, this set of works include research articles, news, letters, and other writings published in more than 200 of the oldest leading academic journals. The works date from the mid-seventeenth to the early twentieth centuries.

We encourage people to read and share the Early Journal Content openly and to tell others that this resource exists. People may post this content online or redistribute in any way for non-commercial purposes.

Read more about Early Journal Content at http://about.jstor.org/participate-jstor/individuals/early-journal-content.

JSTOR is a digital library of academic journals, books, and primary source objects. JSTOR helps people discover, use, and build upon a wide range of content through a powerful research and teaching platform, and preserves this content for future generations. JSTOR is part of ITHAKA, a not-for-profit organization that also includes Ithaka S+R and Portico. For more information about JSTOR, please contact support@jstor.org.

Cal. 148, 154, 131 Pac. 119, 121. Under the theory that the water in the defendant's pipe-line was personalty the plaintiff must have failed in the present action. For a contract indefinite in time by which one party agrees to supply a commodity to another at a fixed price may be terminated by either party on reasonable notice and after a reasonable time. *McCullough-Dalzell etc. Co.* v. *Philadelphia Co.*, 223 Pa. 336, 72 Atl. 633.

WILLS — CONSTRUCTION — FROM WHAT TIME A WILL SPEAKS. — Testator devised to his wife "my house in Urquhart St." Subsequently he bought another house in Urquhart Street, which he owned at the time of his death, and sold the first. A statute provides that "every will shall be construed with reference to the real estate comprised in it to speak and take effect as if it had been executed immediately before the death of the testator, unless a contrary intention shall appear by the will." VICTORIA WILLS ACT, 1915, § 22. Held, that the testator's wife take the second house. Watson v. Smith, [1916] Vict. L. R. 540.

The statute is practically identical with § 24 of the English Wills Act and statutes in most of the United States. I VICT. c. 26, § 24. See I JARMAN, WILLS, 5 Am. ed., 602, n. 4. Its effect is to abolish the common law rule that after-acquired real estate could not be devised. It also raises a strong presumption that the will speaks from the date of the testator's death, but does not change the ultimate question, what was the testator's intention, as expressed by the will, which must of course be his intention at the time he made the will. The exact problem of the principal case has never before arisen, and there has been some difference among text-writers as to what should be its solution. See Theobald, Wills, 7 ed., 130; I Jarman, Wills, 5 Am. ed., 608. The cases bearing on the point fall into two general groups. If the subject of the devise is such that the testator may well have intended to include any future additions or substitutions, it will be construed as speaking from the date of the testator's death. Goodlad v. Burnett, 1 K. & J. 341 ("my New 3% Annuities"); Richmond v. Vanhook, 3 Ired. Eq. (N. C.) 581 ("my chest and all that is in it"). See also In re Slater, [1907] I Ch. 665, 670. On the other hand, if the subject of the devise is such that the testator must have intended to include only the particular things falling within his description at the time the will was made, then it will be construed as speaking from the time of its execution. Georgetti v. Georgetti, 18 N. Z. L. R. 849 ("my dwelling house"); In re Evans, [1909] 1 Ch. 784 ("my house known as Cross Villa"); In re Gibson, 2 Eq. 669 ("my 1000 shares of stock" in the X. Co.); Amshutz v. Miller, 81 Pa. 212 (a devise to A. for life and after his death to "his widow"). Cf. Webb v. Byng, 1 K. & J. 580; Williams v. Owen, 9 L. T. (N. S.) 200; Pattison v. Pattison, 1 My. & K. 12. But cf. Castle v. Fox, 11 Eq. 542. In the principal case, the words "my house on Urquhart St." indicate a single, specific thing, and cannot contemplate any future additions or substitutions. It is submitted, therefore, that it falls within that class of cases in which the will should be construed as speaking from the time it was executed.

WILLS — LEGACIES AND DEVISES — DEDUCTION OF EXTINGUISHED NOTE FROM LEGACY UNDER SET-OFF CLAUSE. — A testator made a bequest to his son, from which were to be deducted all notes of the son owned by or held in trust for the testator at the time of his decease. The will next stated that he had already paid to the son certain large sums "which are not included in the indebtedness aforesaid." In a suit by the son for the legacy, the executors introduced a note equal in amount to the legacy. The son offered to prove that prior to the testator's death the note had been extinguished by merger of the mortgage given to secure it with the equity of redemption, and that the payment in return for which such note was given was the only large payment